### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

REC'D	3 0	JUN	2005
WIPO			

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0300171	FOR FURTHER A	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416				
International application No. PCT/GB2004/002410	International filing date 08.06.2004	(day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year) 25.06.2003				
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC G01N27/64							
Applicant SMITHS GROUP PLC et al.							
This report is the international pre Authority under Article 35 and tra	eliminary examination rensmitted to the applicar	eport, established by this according to Article 36	International Preliminary Examining				
2. This REPORT consists of a total	of 5 sheets, including t	his cover sheet.					
3. This report is also accompanied b	y ANNEXES, comprisi	ng:					
a. 🛘 sent to the applicant and t	o the International Bure	au) a total of sheets, as	s follows:				
ı andor Sheets Containi	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis of this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).						
☐ sheets which supersed beyond the disclosure Supplemental Box.	sheets which supersede earlier sheets, but which this Authority considers contain an amendment that goes beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed, as indicated in item 4 of Roy No. Land the						
b.   (sent to the International Besequence listing and/or tabe)  Box Relating to Sequence	iles related thereto. In c	omputer readable form o	of electronic carrier(s)) , containing a only, as indicated in the Supplemental nstructions).				
4. This report contains indications re	lating to the following it	ems:					
Box No. I Basis of the opin	nion		:				
☐ Box No. II Priority							
☐ Box No. III Non-establishm	ent of opinion with rega	rd to novelty, inventive s	tep and industrial applicability				
☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of			,				
applicability; cita	itions and explanations	<ul> <li>with regard to novelty, supporting such statement</li> </ul>	inventive step or industrial ent				
☐ Box No. VI Certain docume							
	in the international appl		·				
🛛 Box No. VIII Certaiñ observa	tions on the internation	al application					
Date of submission of the demand	·	Date of completion of this	report				
22.10.2004	·	29.06.2005					
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:	ai	Authorized Officer	nas Pilipo.				
European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Klein, M-O Telephone No. +49 89 239	99-2736				

# INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002410

_						
_	Bo	x No. I Basis of th	report			
1.	Wit file	Vith regard to the <b>language</b> , this report is based on the international application in the language in which it was iled, unless otherwise indicated under this item.				
		☐ international sea☐ publication of th	on translations from the original language into the following language, e of a translation furnished for the purposes of: ch (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)) international application (under Rule 12.4) minary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/or 55.3)			
2.	With regard to the elements* of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheet have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report):					
	Des	scription, Pages				
	1-4		as originally filed			
	Clai	ims, Numbers				
	1-12	2	as originally filed			
	Dra	wings, Sheets				
	1/1		as originally filed			
		a sequence listing a	d/or any related table(s) - see Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing			
3.			ve resulted in the cancellation of:			
		☐ the description, p☐ the claims, Nos.	iges			
		☐ the drawings, sh	ets/figs			
		☐ the sequence lis☐ any table(s) relati	ng (specify): d to sequence listing (specify):			
4.	Jup	had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).				
		☐ the description, p☐ the claims, Nos.				
		the drawings, she the sequence list	ets/figs na (specify):			
		☐ any table(s) relat	d to sequence listing (specify):			
	*	If item 4 appli	s, some or all of these sheets may be marked "superseded."			

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No. PCT/GB2004/002410

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

11,12

No: Claims

1-10

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

11,12

. . ,

No: Claims

1-10

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-12

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7):

see separate sheet

Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/GB2004/002410

Reference is made to the following documents:

D1: US-B1-6 459 079
D2: US-A-4 445 038
D3: WO 00/52432 A
D4: EP-A-0 626 579
D5: US 2003/006778 A

#### Re Item VIII

#### Reasoned statement with regard to clarity

- The term "operable" in claim 1 and various dependent claims does not limit the scope of the claim for which protection is sought. For claims 1 the expression merely states that the driver is "suitable for switching the polarity...". Hence any apparatus (e.g. **D1**, **D2**, **D4**) disclose the subject-matter of claim 1 because the disclosed systems have voltage controllers for applying a potential in the two drift regions.
- It appears that some of the features in the apparatus claim 1 relate to a method of using the apparatus ("...the driver is operable to switch the polarity... so that it is responsive to a substance...") rather than clearly defining the apparatus in terms of its technical features. The intended limitations are therefore not clear from this claim, contrary to the requirements of Article 6 PCT. It is suggested to file a new independent **method** claim.
- It is not clear in claim 3 which cell is switched ("... switch polarity of **the** (or each) cell...").
- It is not clear in claim 6 whether the polarity is switched in one or each cell.

#### Re Item V

Reasoned statement with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. The present application does not meet the criteria of Article 33(1) PCT, because the subject-matter of independent claim 1 is not new in the sense of Article 33(2) PCT.

The document **D1** discloses an ion mobility spectrometer system including a first and second IMS cell (fig. 1), each cell having an inlet by which a vapour or gas to be analysed is supplied to the cells a driver operable to drive the first and second

cells at opposite polarities such that the first and second cells are responsive to respective first and second substances different from one another, characterized in that the driver is operable to switch the polarity of at least the first cell so that it is response to a substance different from the first substance (col. 7, l. 46- col. 8, l 19).

2. Dependent claims 2-10 do not contain any features which, in combination with the features of any claim to which they refer, meet the requirements of the PCT in respect of novelty and/or inventive step, see documents **D1-D5** and the corresponding passages cited in the search report.
The following is noted to various dependent claims:

claim 2-6: see D1 (col. 7, l. 46- col. 8, l 19). See clarity objection for the term "operable".

claim 7,8: see D1 (col. 3, I. 57-54, col.8, I. 8-19).

claim 9: obvious conclusion, not a technical feature

claim 10: obvious decision not to change polarity of a cell when substance is detected.